

Office Action Summary

(For use in the First Action Interview Pilot Program)

Application No.

10/733,725

Applicant(s)

KIM ET AL.

Examiner

DAVID E. ENGLAND

Art Unit

2443

Page 1 of 3

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE **ONE MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS**, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.**This time period for reply is extendable under 37 CFR 1.136(a) for only ONE additional MONTH. This communication constitutes notice under 37 CFR 1.136(a)(1)(i).**☐ Applicant's request to not have a first-action interview is acknowledged.**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 January 2009 and interview conducted on 10 March 2009.
 2) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 3) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
 3a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 4) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 8) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 9) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 10) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 11) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Contact Information

Examiner's Telephone Number: (571)272-3912
 Examiner's Typical Work Schedule: Mon-Thur, 7:30-5:30
 Supervisor's Name: Tonia Dollinger
 Supervisor's Telephone Number: 571-272-4170

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/88)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
 4) ☒ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/10/2009
 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) ☐ Other: _____

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10733725		Applicant(s) KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner DAVID E., ENGLAND		Art Unit 2443	Page 2 of 3

Notification of Rejection(s) and/or Objection(s)

#	Claim(s)	Reference(s) (if applicable)	Rejection Statutory Basis	Brief Explanation of Rejection
1	3		112, 2nd	Claim 3 recites the limitation of "selected interval is about 100 milliseconds". This limitation is indefinite since there is no real limit, range or definite number, i.e.,...
2	18		112, 2nd	Claim 18 recites the limitation of "wherein said parameters". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. (cont)
3	1,6,8,9,15,17,18	A	102(a)	Claim 1 (col. 4, line 29-44); Claims 6 & 15 (col. 4, lines 17-65); Claims 8 & 17 (col. 4, lines 17-65); Claims 9 & 18 (col. 4, lines 17-65).
4	2,4,5,10,11,13,14,19,20	A,B	103(a)	2 (col. 1, line 39-col. 2, line 27 & col. 9, line 11-65 & col. 5, lines 1-43). 'A' doesn't teaches specific multi packet. 'B' discloses this, (col. 9, lines 54 et seq.). It would be obvious to only use a counter with...
5	3,12	A,B	103(a)	'A' teaches Claims 3 and 12 (col. 1, lines 52-67, timer is set so therefore it is up to the user to set the time to whatever they seem fit, i.e., 100 ms.).

Expanded Discussion/Commentary

1 the term "about" make the claim indefinite.
2		It would appear that the Applicant wishes for claim 18 to depended from claim 17, not 10.
4		.. multiple packets because doing such would cut down on interrupt times since packets are processed in groups not individually. Claims 10,11,19 and 20 are rejected in the same light as claims 1 and 2. Claims 4,5,13,14 are similar in nature just stated differently. Both of which 'B' teaches, i.e., it will not process unless a couple of pakes are counted for.

DATE: 3/10/2009	/David E. England/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2443	
---------------------------	---	--

Office Action Summary		Application No. 10/733,725	Applicant(s) KIM ET AL.	
		Examiner DAVID E. ENGLAND	Art Unit 2443	Page 3 of 3

Notification of Rejection(s) and/or Objection(s)

#	Claim(s)	Reference(s) (if applicable)	Rejection Statutory Basis	Brief Explanation of Rejection
6	7,16	A,B,C	103(a)	'A' and 'B' do not teach a namespace based on a selected sender. 'C' does (col. 5, line 14-col. 6, line 10). It would have been obvious to use namespaces since each packet network has a namespace...

Expanded Discussion/Commentary

6		, a set of addresses that is recognizes as sources for or destinations for packets. If a packet network receives a packet whose source or destination address is not part of the namespace of the network, the message is not forwarded. Therefore adding a level of security and blocking unrecognized nodes and/or users.

DATE: 3/10/2009	/David E. England/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2443	
---------------------------	---	--